DTP/94/51
DAMTP/R-94/59
hep-ph/9412232
December 1994

arXiv:hep-ph/9412232v1 5 Dec 1994

A NOTE ON THE FINITENESS OF CURVATURE AT COSMIC STRING CUSPS

Ruth Gregory[♠]

Centre for Particle Theory, Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Durham, Durham, DH1 3LE, U.K.

and

D.A.M.T.P. University of Cambridge
Silver Street, Cambridge, CB3 9EW, U.K.

ABSTRACT

We examine a Nambu-Goto string trajectory in the neighbourhood of a cusp and determine the extrinsic curvature invariants. These are demonstrated to be finite, in contradiction with naive expectation. Thus the Nambu action is a valid approximation for the motion of a cosmic string vortex even at cusps. This suggests that cusp annihilation is not a feasible mechanism for particle radiation from cosmic strings.

PACS numbers: 11.27+d, 98.80Cq

Keywords: cosmic string, cusp, radiation, Nambu-Goto action

[♠] *Email: rg10012@amtp.cam.ac.uk*

The motion of a cosmic string (see [1] for a recent review), determined by the Nambu action, generically contains cusps, or points at which the string instantaneously reaches the speed of light. The Nambu action is the lowest order term in an effective action for the cosmic string motion[2], and is valid when the string width, w, is much less than its radius of curvature, K^{-1}. It has generally been thought that this approximation breaks down at a cusp[3], where the extrinsic curvature of the worldsheet was presumed infinite. In this note, we point out that this is not the case.

Our starting point is the Nambu-Goto action. Let X^μ be the spacetime coordinates of the string worldsheet, and $\sigma^A = \{\sigma, \tau\}$, be a set of worldsheet coordinates, with τ understood as being a timelike coordinate. Then the induced metric on the worldsheet is given by

$$\gamma_{AB} = \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial \sigma^A}\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial \sigma^B}\eta_{\mu\nu} \tag{1}$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ =Diag$(c^2, -1, -1, -1)$ is the Minkowski spacetime metric. The action is proportional to the area of the surface swept out by the string, and is given in terms of its intrinsic metric by

$$S = -\frac{\mu}{c}\int \sqrt{-\gamma}d^2\sigma = -\frac{\mu}{c}\int d\sigma d\tau \{(\dot{X}^\mu X'_\mu)^2 - \dot{X}^{\mu^2} X'^{\mu^2}\} \tag{2}$$

where $'$ denotes $\partial/\partial\sigma$ and $\cdot$ denotes $\partial/\partial\tau$. μ is the energy per unit length of the string and is of order $10^{38}\mathrm{Jm}^{-1}$ for a GUT string. Henceforth we will set $\hbar = c = 1$.

There is a great deal of freedom in the choice of coordinates on the string worldsheet, so following Kibble and Turok[4], we fix our gauge by setting $\tau = t$, the Minkowski time coordinate, and imposing the conformal gauge conditions

$$\dot{X}^\mu X'_\mu = 0 \quad ; \qquad \dot{X}^{\mu^2} + X'^{\mu^2} = 0 \tag{3}$$

so that the metric is conformally flat ($\gamma_{AB} \propto \eta_{AB}$). Writing the worldsheet coordinates in the form

$$X^\mu = (\tau, \mathbf{r}(\sigma, \tau)) \ , \tag{4}$$

the equations of motion are written in terms of $\mathbf{r}$ as

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}.\mathbf{r}' = 0 \tag{5a}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}^2 + \mathbf{r}'^2 = 1 \tag{5b}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{r}'' = 0 \tag{5c}$$

The first two of these equations say that $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ is the observable velocity of the string perpendicular to itself, and that the parameter σ (which satisfies $d\sigma = dr/\sqrt{1-\dot{\mathbf{r}}^2}$) measures equal energy intervals along the string. (5c), the equation of motion, is a simple wave equation, and hence the solution for $\mathbf{r}$ consists of a superposition of arbitrary left and right moving waves:

$$\mathbf{r} = \tfrac{1}{2}[\mathbf{a}(\sigma - \tau) + \mathbf{b}(\sigma + \tau)] \tag{6}$$

with the constraints

$$\mathbf{a}'^2 = \mathbf{b}'^2 = 1 \ . \tag{7}$$

Therefore $\mathbf{a}'$ and $\mathbf{b}'$ lie on a unit sphere, but are otherwise arbitrary. If we are dealing with a closed loop of length L, then both $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are periodic with length L, but the string motion actually has periodicity $L/2$, since $\mathbf{r}(\sigma + L/2, \tau + L/2) = \mathbf{r}(\sigma, \tau)$. Additionally, both $\mathbf{a}'$ and $\mathbf{b}'$ must average to zero in the centre of mass frame since $\int_0^L d\sigma \mathbf{r}' = \int_0^L d\sigma \dot{\mathbf{r}} = 0$.

Returning to (1), and inputting (4) and (7) gives

$$\gamma_{AB} = \tfrac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}')\eta_{AB} \tag{8}$$

in conformal form as required. Note that if $\mathbf{a}' = -\mathbf{b}'$, $\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}' = -1$, and γ_{AB} becomes degenerate, this reflects the fact that the worldsheet is null and is called a cusp. At a cusp $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = 1, \mathbf{r}' = 0$, i.e. the string is travelling at the speed of light, and σ is varying arbitrarily rapidly at this point, so the mass concentrated there is technically infinite. It is fortunate that the cusp has measure zero! Nonetheless, ultra-relativistic velocities, and high density concentrations could indicate a breakdown of the approximation used to obtain the motion of the cosmic string, which after all is a finite thickness vortex; on the other hand, these features could be an artefact of the nullity of the worldsheet, and provided that this occurs only at an isolated point there may not be a problem. In order to investigate this, we examine the extrinsic curvature near a cusp.

A worldsheet living in four dimensions has co-dimension 2, and thus has 2 families of normals, $\underset{i}{n}^{\mu}$, satisfying

$$\underset{i}{n}^{\mu}\underset{j}{n}^{\nu}\eta_{\mu\nu} = -\delta_{ij} \quad ; \qquad \underset{i}{n}^{\mu}\frac{\partial X^{\mu}}{\partial \sigma^{A}} = 0 \tag{9}$$

and two associated extrinsic curvatures

$$K_{iAB} = \frac{\partial X^{\mu}}{\partial \sigma^{A}}\frac{\partial X^{\nu}}{\partial \sigma^{B}}\nabla_{(\mu}n_{i\nu)} \ , \tag{10}$$

(see [5] for greater detail on this formalism.) There is of course an SO(2) gauge freedom in the choice of normals, $\underset{i}{n}^{\mu} \rightarrow R_{ij}\underset{j}{n}^{\mu}$, but this will not be relevant in our calculation. An example of two normals is:

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{1}{n}^{\mu} &= (1-(\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}')^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(1-\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{b}'-\mathbf{a}'\Big) \\ \underset{2}{n}^{\mu} &= (1-(\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}')^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(0, \mathbf{a}'\wedge\mathbf{b}'\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

note that as we approach a cusp, $\underset{1}{n}^{\mu}$ tilts over towards the light cone whereas $\underset{2}{n}^{\mu}$ flips direction across the cusp, corresponding to our notion of the light-speed, sharp point of a cusp.

Calculating the associated extrinsic curvatures gives

$$\begin{aligned} K_{1AB} &= \tfrac{1}{2}(1-(\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}')^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big[(\delta^{\tau}_{A}\delta^{\tau}_{B}+\delta^{\sigma}_{A}\delta^{\sigma}_{B})(\mathbf{a}''.\mathbf{b}'-\mathbf{b}''.\mathbf{a}') - 2\delta^{\tau}_{(A}\delta^{\sigma}_{B)}(\mathbf{a}''.\mathbf{b}'+\mathbf{b}''.\mathbf{a}')\Big] \\ K_{2AB} &= \tfrac{1}{2}(1-(\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}')^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big[(\delta^{\tau}_{A}\delta^{\tau}_{B}+\delta^{\sigma}_{A}\delta^{\sigma}_{B})(\mathbf{a}''+\mathbf{b}'').\mathbf{a}'\wedge\mathbf{b}' + 2\delta^{\tau}_{(A}\delta^{\sigma}_{B)}(\mathbf{a}''-\mathbf{b}'').\mathbf{a}'\wedge\mathbf{b}'\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

note $\gamma^{AB}K_{iAB} \equiv 0$ as required by the Nambu equations of motion.

In order to examine whether a cusp is a singular point for the extrinsic curvature, we must examine scalars constructed from the curvature. Suitable worldsheet scalars are

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= K_{1AB}\underset{1}{K}^{AB} = (1-(\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}')^2)^{-1}[(\mathbf{a}''.\mathbf{b}')^2 + (\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}'')^2] \\ \Sigma_2 &= K_{2AB}\underset{2}{K}^{AB} = (1-(\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}')^2)^{-1}[(\mathbf{a}''.\mathbf{a}'\wedge\mathbf{b}')^2 + (\mathbf{b}''.\mathbf{a}'\wedge\mathbf{b}')^2] \\ \Sigma_3 &= K_{1AB}\underset{2}{K}^{AB} = (1-(\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}')^2)^{-1}[(\mathbf{a}''.\mathbf{b}')(\mathbf{a}''.\mathbf{a}'\wedge\mathbf{b}') - (\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}'')(\mathbf{b}''.\mathbf{a}'\wedge\mathbf{b}')] \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

These of course depend on the normals, to remove this dependence, one can consider the combinations $K_{iAB}K_{j}^{AB}\delta^{ij} = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$ and $K_{iAB}K_{j}^{AB}\epsilon^{ij} = 2\Sigma_3$. The first of these is proportional to the intrinsic curvature of the worldsheet by virtue of the Nambu equations implying $K_{i} - 0$, the second quantity is related to the curvature of the normal bundle of the worldsheet. We choose to consider the three quantities in (13) separately to ensure that all possible *worldsheet* scalars vanish.

Now consider a neighbourhood of the cusp. Without loss of generality, let the intersection of $\mathbf{a}'$ and $-\mathbf{b}'$ occur at $(1,0,0)$, i.e. $\theta = \pi/2, \phi = 0$ on the unit sphere. Also, without loss of generality, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a(s)}' &= (\cos\phi(s), \sin\phi(s), 0) \\ \mathbf{b(s)}' &= (\cos\phi(s), \cos\psi\sin\phi(s), \sin\psi\sin\phi(s)) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

in a neighbourhood of the cusp, where ψ is the angle between $\mathbf{a}''$ and $-\mathbf{b}''$, $\psi \neq 0, \pi$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbf{a}'.\mathbf{b}' &= \cos\phi_+\cos\phi_- + \cos\psi\sin\phi_+\sin\phi_- \\ \mathbf{a}'' &= \phi'_-(-\sin\phi_-, \cos\phi_-, 0) \\ \mathbf{b}'' &= \phi'_+(-\sin\phi_+, \cos\psi\cos\phi_+, \sin\psi\cos\phi_+), \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where we have abbreviated $\phi^{(\prime)}(\sigma \pm \tau)$ as $\phi_{\pm}^{(\prime)}$. For small $\phi_\pm$, the three scalars in (13) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \frac{{\phi'}_-^2[\phi_- - \cos\psi\phi_+]^2 + {\phi'}_+^2[\phi_+ - \cos\psi\phi_-]^2}{\phi_+^2 + \phi_-^2 - 2\cos\psi\phi_+\phi_-} \\ \Sigma_2 &= \frac{{\phi'}_-^2\sin^2\psi\phi_+^2 + {\phi'}_+^2\sin^2\psi\phi_-^2}{\phi_+^2 + \phi_-^2 - 2\cos\psi\phi_+\phi_-} \\ \Sigma_3 &= \frac{-{\phi'}_-^2\sin\psi\phi_+[\phi_- - \cos\psi\phi_+] - {\phi'}_+^2\sin\psi\phi_-[\phi_+ - \cos\psi\phi_-]}{\phi_+^2 + \phi_-^2 - 2\cos\psi\phi_+\phi_-} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Now, note that $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 = {\phi'}_-^2 + {\phi'}_+^2$, and since $[\phi_\mp - \cos\psi\phi_\pm]^2 < \phi_+^2 + \phi_-^2 - 2\cos\psi\phi_+\phi_-$, we have that $\Sigma_1 < {\phi'}_-^2 + {\phi'}_+^2$. Hence both Σ_1 and Σ_2 are generically bounded at and near the cusp. Similarly, $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + 2\Sigma_3 < 2(\phi_-'^2 + \phi_+'^2)$, and hence Σ_3 is also genericaclly bounded at and near the cusp.

Thus we see that all of the worldsheet scalars that can be formed from the extrinsic curvature are bounded in the vicinity of a cusp. We are therefore forced to conclude that a cusp is analogous to a coordinate singularity as far as the worldsheet curvature is concerned, and does not represent in any way a breakdown of the approximation in which we treat a cosmic string as a Nambu-Goto string. How can this be, for at a cusp the string appears to double back on itself? The answer lies in what we mean by string width and string curvature. The width of the string, w, is measured in its local rest frame, and to order w/K remains constant in that frame[5,6]. Moreover the actual field theoretic vortex solution is, again to order w/K, the static zero curvature vortex solution (such as the Nielsen-Olesen solution for an abelian Higgs vortex) in the planes normal to the worldsheet[5,6]. The string curvature, again, is measured in a local rest frame, both normals being perpendicular to the four-velocity of the worldsheet. Therefore, both string width and string curvature, being measured in local rest frames, are inherently four-dimensional quantities, and it is therefore not only misleading, but wrong, to take a "snapshot" of the string as an external observer and draw conclusions as to its curvature. The combination of Fitzgerald contractions and Lorentz time dilations allow the worldsheet to evade any true curvature singularities at a cusp.

Finally, we would like to remark on kinks. A kink corresponds to a discontinuity in $\mathbf{a}'$ and/or $\mathbf{b}'$, so that for example

$$\mathbf{a}'(s) = (\mathbf{a}'_+ - \mathbf{a}'_-)\theta(s) + \mathbf{a}'_-(s) \tag{17}$$

in a neighbourhood of a kink. (We have chosen the step function to be strict, $\theta(0) = 0$ in order that $|\mathbf{a}'| \equiv 1$ for all s.) Thus

$$\mathbf{a}''(s) = (\mathbf{a}''_+ - \mathbf{a}''_-)\theta(s) + \mathbf{a}''_-(s) + \delta(s)(\mathbf{a}'_+ - \mathbf{a}'_-) \tag{18}$$

Therefore, provided $\mathbf{b}'.\mathbf{a}' \neq \pm 1$ along $\sigma = \tau$, at least one of the scalars will be singular at the kink.

To summarize: By considering a general solution to the Nambu action we have shown that the extrinsic curvature of the worldsheet is bounded at cusps but singular at kinks. We have therefore confirmed that at kinks the Nambu approximation breaks down as expected, but obtain the unexpected result that at cusps the approximation remains valid. This result may have implications for the particle production from cosmic string loops. In general, one might expect radiation from a string when the static approximation, i.e. approximating a cosmic string vortex by the static vortex solution in a plane orthogonal to the worldsheet, is no longer a good approximation to the full equations of motion. In other words, when the string wiggles on scales comparable to its width. We have shown this is not the case at cusps. Therefore, our result suggests that any calculations, such as [3], which assume cusp annihilation as a major source of particle production may be over-estimates. This means that particle radiation from cosmic strings is probably closer to its original [7], extremely low, result.

References.

[1] M.B.Hindmarsh and T.W.B.Kibble, *Cosmic Strings*, hep-ph/9411342

[2] D.Förster, *Nucl. Phys.* B**81**, 84 (1974).
K.Maeda and N.Turok, *Phys. Lett.* B**202**, 376 (1988).
R.Gregory, *Phys. Lett.* B**206**, 199 (1988).
P.Orland, *Nucl. Phys.* B**428**, 221 (1994).
M.Sato and S.Yahikozawa, hep-th/9406208.

[3] R.H.Brandenberger, *Nucl. Phys.* B**293**, 812 (1987).
P.Bhattacharjee, *Phys. Rev.* D**40**, 3968 (1989).
R.H.Brandenberger, A.Sornborger and M.Trodden, *Phys. Rev.* D**48**, 940 (1993).

[4] T.W.B.Kibble and N.Turok, *Phys. Lett.* B**116**, 141 (1982).

[5] R.Gregory, *Phys. Rev.* D**43**, 520 (1991).

[6] R.Gregory, D.Haws and D.Garfinkle, *Phys. Rev.* D**42**, 343 (1990).
B.Carter and R.Gregory, hep-th/9410095.

[7] M.Srednicki and S.Theisen, *Phys. Lett.* B**189**, 397 (1987).